WORLDWEW

EXCURSUS 1

Mark A. Bruzonsky on AFTER SADAT

*hose who say it was really Sadat's friends who did him in *press the underlying problems of the post-Camp David *environment in the Middle East. For Sadat was being *pradually destroyed by his own Camp David partners. In *meir various ways Carter, Begin, and Reagan left him *tangling—a victim of their myopia, lack of resolve, and *erceptions of their own domestic political situations.

There are abundant signs that Sadat had begun to pancin his last months, aware of his predicament but unable found a way out. The bullets from Muslim fanatics—who to doubt fancy themselves both Egyptian and Arab patritis—made it a clean kill.

Of course there can be no certainty that had the peace" process not degenerated into an undisguisable Egyptian-Israeli deal and had Israel not repeatedly violated Arab honor in Baghdad, Beirut, on the West Bank, and, to many in Egypt, on the streets of Cairo, the events of October 6 would not have occurred. But what can be taid with some certainty is that American and Israeli polities and attitudes in the wake of the March, 1979, treaty progressively weakened Sadat, forced him to repress troad segments of Egyptian society, cut him off from his natural Arab allies, and thus created a climate conducive of martrydom and fanaticism.

Now Mubarak faces the same dilemmas, but without taying Sadat's authority. The U.S. confronts a Middle East more torn by social tensions and divided by political fustrations. Israel finds itself on a crucial hinge on which venachem Begin can swing Israel's future (and that of the entire region) in the direction of reconciliation or toward revilable catastrophe.

It will be many months, if not years, before the true prection of Mubarak's Egypt is known. Sadat, it should be remembered, was forced to feint and twist in the early 10s before arriving at the course he initiated in Jerusalem ust lour years ago last month. He would not have been 12e Nobel Laureate of Peace had he not been the Hero of 12e October War. Indeed, it is likely that Mubarak's direction, as Sadat's, will be dictated by the flow of events after than by well-developed plans. He is known more as 12e operator than a thinker, more for his shrewdness in 13e andling the Army and the bureaucracy than for his stra-13e occoncepts.

Consequently, today's U.S. and Israeli policies and attitudes will help to determine Mubarek and Egypt's fate, as well as the final outcome of Sadat's grand gamble. For if, pt has fully exhausted its flexibility and much of its well-confidence. It has gone as far as it can in nurturing a brace process that few believed could be accelerated at the pace Sadat insisted was possible. Normalization of the matter that it may simply freeze in place or atrospy if the inescapable Palestinian issue remains stalemated.

America's postassassination attempts to buttress fappl with displays of rhetorical toughness and military prowess may provide marginal reassurances about U.S. muscle, but they hardly speak to Egyptian and Arab doubts about America's political determination and sometimentation. Under Reagan, U.S. Middle East policy has potten tangled up in simplistic notions, foremost among which is that of "strategic consensus." Yet neither the

Soviet Union nor Libya is actually challenging basic American interests in the region right now, though it is convenient to have these whipping boys so as to avoid the more immediate and fundamental issue: how to approach a comprehensive Arab-Israeli peace, including a fair solution of the Palestinian predicament.

A coalition of moderate Arab states is quite prepared to follow U.S. leadership on strategic matters if American political credibility is restored. But not now, when they perceive American insensitivity and ignorance to be threatening their internal cohesion and what remains of pan-Arab honor. And if the U.S. were less hung up on protecting specific regimes in the name of the false god "stability," we could get on with the far more difficult task of consolidating social, cultural, political, and economic links with the key states of the region, transcending individual personalities.

. Much more transpired in the '70s than the West's uneasy dependence on OPEC and the creation of petrodollar power. As Arab societies have matured and modernized, they have made deep psychological adjustments. Coexistence with Israel is no longer a heretical notion; Sadat was prescient in perceiving that the conflict was ripe for resolution. But the requisite conditions have yet to be established. From Washington vision and courage are required, from Israel a new attitude toward the Arab Mideast—not just toward Egypt—and a willingness to thrash out a partition compromise with Palestinian nationalists.

As for Washington's record thus far, James Reston has hit the nail on the head: The Reagan administration's performance borders on "diplomatic scandal."

Mark A. Bruzonsky is a consultant on Middle Eastern affairs and a Worldview Contributing Editor.